

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No. 17010 of 2013. [O&M]
Date of Decision: 18th August, 2015.

Ram Chander & Anr.
Versus
Petitioners through
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate

State of Haryana & Ors. Respondents.

***CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH***

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

The petitioners moved an application purportedly under Section 42 of the East Punjab Holdings [Consolidation and Prevention of Fragmentation] Act, 1948 for correction of father's name of Nanhata son of Dhani who was allegedly recorded as 'Nanhata son of Ganga Ram'. The correction was sought in the *Khatauni-Pamaish* where the mistake was committed in the year 1962. The application for correction was instituted on 16.07.2010 and the Director, Consolidation dismissed the same on 24.11.2010.

The above stated mistake was allegedly noticed by the petitioners when the opposite party filed a civil suit against them on 22.11.2008. It may, thus, be seen that even after coming to know of the said mistake, the petitioners took almost two years in approaching the Director, Consolidation for correction of the mistake. Thereafter, when the application was rejected, the instant writ petition has been filed after about five years.

In these circumstances, we decline to entertain the writ petition in the absence of any plausible explanation whatsoever for the concurrent inordinate delay in approaching one or the other forum.

Dismissed.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

August 18, 2015.
dinesh

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE