

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.247

CWP No.16926 of 2015

Date of decision: 06.11.2015

Dr. Manjit Kaur and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Ramesh Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioner No.1 served the respondent-College as an extension Lecturer in Hindi for the year 2014-2015 whereas Petitioner No.2 served the respondent-College as an extension Lecturer in Master of Tourism Management since September 2012 onwards. In the year 2015, an advertisement was issued seeking applications for appointment for extension Lecturers who would replace the petitioners.

Impugning the above referred action, the petitioners filed the present petition seeking a direction to the respondents not to replace them by another set of extension Lecturers but before the petitioners could approach this Court, respondent No.4 joined the services of the respondents as an extension Lecturer in place of respondent No.2.

Today, Sh. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana, states that as per the policy decision taken by the Government, the extension

Lecturers who were serving the respective colleges as extension Lecturers for the year 2014-15 would continue in service subject to their fulfilling the required qualifications, existence of work and their good work and conduct.

The aforesaid statement made on behalf of the State of Haryana, satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioners and on account of that he does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

So far as respondent No.4 is concerned, he is given liberty to challenge the aforesaid policy decision of the Government and the reliving order, if any passed against him, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

November 06, 2015

Jyoti 1