

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015-12-04 10:28
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.1692 of 2015
Date of decision:02.02.2015**

Bhoop Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari/mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, submits that he does not intend to press this writ petition for the writ in the nature of certiorari, for the time being. He further submits that he has instructions to say that pursuant to communication dated 22.1.2015 (Annexure P-4), respondent No.2 has cancelled the transfer order qua Harbans Lal and Monika, who were at Serials No. 1 and 3, respectively, in the same communication (Annexure P-4). However, no decision qua the petitioner has been communicated to him in spite of the fact that petitioner is a chronic heart patient, because of which his case was recommended to the Chief Administrator, HUDA. He further submits that petitioner would be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider the grievance of the

petitioner sympathetically and pass an appropriate order on official communication dated 22.1.2015 (Annexure P-4), within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, Chief Administrator, HUDA-respondent No.2, is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner sympathetically, which prima facie seems to be genuine as raised in the official communication dated 22.1.2015 (Annexure P-4) and decide the same by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

02.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE