

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1690 of 2015
Date of decision:02.02.2015**

M/s Sangrur Transport Co. (Regd.), Sangrur through its partner
...Petitioner

Versus

The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab and another
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Rajiv Sharda, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, it finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of representation dated 30.12.2014 (Annexure P-2), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide its representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala-respondent No.2, is directed to look into the matter, consider the

grievance of the petitioner raised vide its representation dated 30.12.2014 (Annexure P-2) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

02.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE