

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 2325 of 2012

Date of Decision:.01.09.2015

Sunita Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Sushil Sheoran, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the order impugned in the present petition, the appointment of the petitioner as an Anganwari Worker has been set aside. It is not disputed that against such order, the petitioner has the remedy of appeal before the Additional Deputy Commissioner of the concerned District.

In view of the above, the petitioner is relegated to her remedy of appeal and if any such appeal is filed by the petitioner, the same is directed to be considered and decided by the Additional Deputy Commissioner, Hissar (Appellate Authority) by passing a reasoned and speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of such appeal

after hearing the petitioner, the complainant and the representative of the State.

It is expected that the Appellate Authority will consider and decide separately on each of the grounds so to be raised by the petitioner in the appeal, if any, which may be filed.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

01.09.2015
rimpal