

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CWP No.1685-2015
Date of decision:02.02.2015**

Gurnam Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.R.K.Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)**

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that when the grievance of the petitioners was not being redressed, they finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of representation dated 7.11.2012 (Annexure P-7), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide their representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa-respondent No.3, is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance

of the petitioners raised vide their representation dated 7.11.2012 (Annexure P-7) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

02.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE