

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16830 of 2015

Date of Decision:14/08/2015

Narain Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Agro Industries Corporation Limited and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Gitanjali, Advocate for
Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, she would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 9.1.2015 (Annexure P-5) issued on behalf of the petitioner. I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to take decision on the legal notice dated 9.1.2015 (Annexures P-5) issued on behalf of the petitioner by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

14.08.2015
rajeev