

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.: 111

Civil Writ Petition No.16690 of 2015 (O & M)

Date of Decision: *August 13, 2015*

Partap Singh & others

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

Additional Director, Consolidation of Holdings, Haryana & others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

. . .

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. D.S. Bali, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Parveen Jain,
 Advocate, for the petitioners.

. . .

Surya Kant, J (Oral)

The writ petition has been filed with a mere apprehension only. It is difficult to hold on the basis of contents of letter dated 1.7.2015 that the authorities would not follow the mandate contained in order dated 26.2.2015. In any case, if the authorities pass an order contrary to what has been directed by this Court, the petitioners would be at liberty to challenge the said action in appropriate proceedings.

Disposed of as pre-mature at this stage.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

August 13, 2015
avin

(Jaspal Singh)
Judge