

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No. 17310 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.11.2015

Smt. Rajbala

..Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present : Mr. S.P.Chahar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate, for the respondents.

S.J.VAZIFDAR A.C.J. (Oral)

The petitioner's grievance is that the respondents have not issued the completion certificate in respect of the structure constructed by her.

2. Respondents have filed an affidavit stating that the completion certificate has not been issued for two reasons. Firstly, the petitioner had not applied through an approved Architect for the completion certificate alongwith the prescribed form BR. (iv) & (v) as per the self compounding policy alongwith required photographs of the house. Secondly, the petitioner had not taken the DPC certificate.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the forms have infact been applied for through her Architect for completion in the prescribed form. As far as the DPC certificate is concerned, the petitioner submits that the offence is compoundable.

4. The petition is accordingly disposed of directing the respondents to reconsider the matter after affording the petitioner an opportunity of being heard. The petitioner shall be at liberty to produce the

copies of the said form. In the event of the form being produced and in the event of the respondents being satisfied regarding the authenticity thereof and in the event of the failure to take the DPC certificate being compoundable, the respondents shall issue the completion certificate subject to the petitioner meeting all other requirements and paying the prescribed fee, if any.

(S.J.VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

03.11.2015
'ravinder'

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE