

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

**CWP No.19819 of 2013
Date of decision: 30.03.2015**

Shubhchintak Sharma and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. R.N. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG. Haryana.

Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate, for
Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that the arrears of pay have since been paid to the petitioners and as such the present petition has become infructuous. However, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the pension of the petitioners has not been refixed in the revised pay and therefore the petitioners are also entitled to arrears of the revised pension.

Learned counsel for the State submits that on revision of pay the pension shall also be refixed.

Accordingly, in view of the submission made by learned counsel for the State, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to refix the pension of the petitioners in view of revision of pay scales. Let necessary exercise be completed within a period of three weeks from today.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

March 30, 2015
anju rani