

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.3184 of 2011

Date of Decision : 27.04.2015

Shamshudin

..... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Wakf Board and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Punchhi, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the order dated 06.10.2010 (Annexure P-26) whereby penalty of stoppage of two annual increments has been imposed upon him.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the respondents has stated that the impugned order is appealable to the Chairman of the Haryana Wakf Board.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would have no objection in filing the appeal against the impugned order before the

Chairman provided that a direction be issued to the Chairman to decide the said appeal expeditiously since during the pendency of the writ petition the petitioner has retired.

I find this to be a fair request. Resultantly, the petition stands disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal before the Chairman of the Haryana Wakf Board within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and the Chairman of the Haryana Wakf Board is directed to pass a speaking order thereon on merits within a period of two months thereafter.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 27, 2015
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE