

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: MARCH 12, 2015

Bipan Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Estate Officer and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. K. S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondents states that lease in favour of the petitioner has been executed.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the amount, which has been deposited by the petitioner, is in excess to what he was required to pay as lease money.

Counsel for the respondents, on the other hand, states that the amount shall be adjusted in accordance with law and if any amount is found in excess, the same will be refunded to the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner has referred to an order dated 07.05.2003 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.1, which is required to

be taken into consideration while adjusting the amount, which has already been paid by the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to finalize the amount which the petitioner has paid in excess to the respondents and refund the same within a period of four weeks. The order dated 07.05.2003 (Annexure P-5) shall also be taken note of while making such calculations. The details of the calculations be forwarded to the petitioner so that he may submit his counter submissions in case he has any objection thereto. It goes without saying that in case the petitioner has to pay something more in addition to the amount already paid, the same will be paid by him within a further period of one month.

March 12, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE