

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CWP No. 16362 of 2015
Date of decision: 10.8.2015

Shaganpreet Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Amit Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the petition is to quash order (Annexure P-1), dated 13.2.2013, on the ground that it has imposed a penalty of Rs.8,63,299/- on account of shortage of Rabi crop for the year 2013-14, in the centre falling under the petitioner. Therefore, and for the reason that he remained absent thereafter, an order that he be charge sheeted under Rule 8 of the Punjab Civil Services (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1970, was “passed in which proceedings are going on”.

Obviously, there is no recovery ordered, in the impugned order. Hence, the prayer itself being wholly misconceived, the writ petition is not maintainable and is dismissed.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be given liberty to file a fresh petition in respect of any prejudice caused on account of the charge sheet issued to him.

Without making comment on the aforesaid submission, the
aforesaid liberty is granted.

10.8.2015
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE