

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16325 of 2015
Date of Decision: 18.08.2015

Smt. Surinderpal Kaur

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.P.S. Goraya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is filed to challenge the order passed by Divisional Deputy Director, Village Development and Panchayat, Jalandhar and the order passed by Director, Village Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab, whereby action is sought to be initiated for recovery of the loss caused to the Panchayat under Section 216 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 [for short 'the Act'].

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since the order has already been passed by the Director, Village Development & Panchayat (Annexure P-3), therefore, he has no right to appeal.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after examining the record, am of the considered opinion that even if the order has been passed by the Director, Village Development & Panchayat as alleged, the petitioner still has a remedy of filing revision petition before the State Government/Secretary Department of Panchayats as provided under Section 216(5) of the Act.

In view of thereof, since the petitioner has an efficacious remedy of revision under the Act, therefore, I refrain from exercising my extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

18.08.2015

Vivek

VIVEK PAHWA
2015.08.19 14:26
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document