

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1623 of 2015

Date of Decision.30.01.2015

M/s Sh. Ganesh and Company

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Parmod Kumar Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The writ petition for enforcement of contract for recovery of money is not competent and the appropriate remedy will be only by means of a civil suit for recovery. If, according to the petitioner, liability is an admitted fact, the petitioner may state so in a suit and take a decree in the manner provided under Order 10 CPC.

2. The writ petition is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 30, 2015
Pankaj*