

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.109

CWP No.16218 of 2015

Date of decision: 07.08.2015

Jitender Kumar and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Union of Territory, Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation dated 08.04.2015 (Annexure P-11), submitted by the petitioners. I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation dated 08.04.2015 (Annexure P-11), submitted by the petitioners, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

August 07, 2015

Jyoti 1