

CWP-16187-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16187-2015

Date of Decision: 11.12.2015

Mrs. Tajinder Jit

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Rajesh Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for U.O.I.

Mr. Praveen Chander Goyal, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the name of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to terminate the services of respondent No.4 pursuant to order of conviction dated 07.06.2014 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonapat which is affirmed by learned Sessions Judge, Sonapat, vide order dated 12.05.2015.

In pursuance of notice of motion, learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 states that respondent No.4 has already been

CWP-16187-2015

compulsorily retired from services and instant petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

However, the petitioner will be at liberty to take appropriate measures against respondent No.4 in accordance with law.

11.12.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge