

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16129 of 2015

Date of Decision : 06.08.2015

Mahainder Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Shri Mata Mansa Devi Shrine Board, Panchkula and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. S.K. Sharma Budhaladawale, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioners are seeking regularization.

Learned counsel states that in this connection the petitioners have also moved a representation dated 26.06.2015 (Annexure P-17) to the respondents and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.1 to look into the matter and decide representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.1 is directed to look into the matter/representation (Annexure P-17) and take whatever action he deems necessary as per law by passing a speaking order thereon

within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

AUGUST 06, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**