

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16128 of 2015

Date of decision August 6, 2015

Banto

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J (ORAL).

Learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer in the present writ petition for appropriate directions to respondent No. 3 to decide the application (Annexure P-5) in light of order dated 13.5.2010 (Annexure P-6).

The present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Education Officer, Sirsa-respondent No.3 to consider the application (Annexure P-5) in the light of order dated 13.5.2010 (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate decision in accordance with law preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted then consequential benefits, if any, be given to the petitioner within a

further period of two months. In case the respondents do not grant any relief then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 6, 2015
archana