

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16112 of 2015
Date of decision: 06.08.2015

Wakesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition, so as to enable the petitioner to pursue his cause/claim/grievance with the authorities in the first instance. Particularly, when a legal notice served upon the respondents, dated 22.09.2014 (Annexure P9), continues to await consideration at their end. He submits that a direction be issued to respondent No.3, to consider and decide the notice (Annexure P9), within a specified time.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, if the petitioner has indeed served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 22.09.2014 (Annexure P9) and the same is pending consideration before the authorities, the same shall be considered and decided, strictly in

accordance with law, within a period of three months from today. Needless to assert, this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on merits of the claim of the petitioner.

August 6, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge