

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No. 16045 of 2015. [O&M]
Date of Decision: 03rd September, 2015.

Subhash Chander

Petitioner through
Mr. S.C.Thatai, Advocate

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors. Respondents.

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

The allotment of plot was cancelled way back on 28.10.1991. It may be true that the petitioner has been representing the Authorities time and again and at one point of time had served the Authorities with a legal notice which was forwarded for response to the Estate Officer, GLADA. However, in our view, the repeated representations would not revive the cause of action or the law of limitation, if applicable. In the context of writ jurisdiction, such repeated representations would not amount to condonation of inordinate delay and laches.

We, thus, find no ground to interfere with the cancellation order at this belated stage.

Dismissed.

(**SURYA KANT**)
JUDGE

September 03, 2015.
dinesh

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE