

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16027 of 2015

Date of Decision : 06.08.2015

Zile Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

UHBVNL and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to grant 2nd and 3rd ACP after completion of 22 years service.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved a representation dated 10.05.2012 (Annexure P-1) to the respondents and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.1 to look into the matter and decide his representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.1 is directed to look into the matter/representation (Annexure P-1) and take whatever

action he deems necessary as per law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is found entitled to any relief claimed the same be released to him within the aforesaid period, failing which, he would be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 8% p.a. from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date/s of payment/s.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

AUGUST 06, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**