

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.19234 of 2013  
Date of Decision: May 19, 2015

Sanjeev Saini & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Naveen Daryal, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr.Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G.Haryana,  
for the State.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

After addressing arguments for some time, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a detailed representation giving the facts as to which of the juniors have been promoted prior to the petitioners and, therefore, they are entitled to the salary for the period they have not been promoted.

The prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners is fair, legal and justified.

Dismissed as withdrawn as prayed for.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to make a detailed

representation giving each and every details of juniors, who alleged to have been promoted much earlier to the petitioners in order to sustain their right for claiming arrears for the period for which they were denied promotion. In case the representation is filed, the respondent-authorities shall decide the same within a period of three months from the date of submission.

In case the petitioners are found entitled to such relief, the respondents shall grant the same within a further period of two months by passing a speaking order.

**May 19, 2015**  
**ramesh**

**( AMIT RAWAL )**  
**JUDGE**