

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 15973 of 2015

Date of Decision: 5.8.2015

Vivek Bhalla

....Petitioner.

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula and another
...Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH.**

PRESENT: Mr. Himanshu Raj, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

1. The petitioner through the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the show cause notice dated 15.5.2015 (Annexure P-3) issued by respondent No.2 for cancellation of plot No. 363, Sector 32, Urban Estate, Karnal, measuring 14 marlas.

2. Plot No. 363, Sector 32, Urban Estate, Karnal measuring 14 marlas was allotted to Smt. Raj Bala vide allotment letter dated 11.5.2012 (Annexure P-1) for an amount of ₹ 28,94,539/- (tentative). The petitioner purchased the said plot vide re-allotment letter dated 12/19.11.2012 (Annexure P-2). The petitioner kept on making payments and all other dues well in time without committing any default. A show cause notice dated 15.5.2015 (Annexure P-3) was received by the petitioner for cancellation of the plot in question. The petitioner served a legal notice dated 29.5.2015 (Annexure P-4) upon the respondents, but

no response has been received till date. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that for the relief claimed in the writ petition, the petitioner has sent a legal notice dated 29.5.2015 (Annexure P-4) to the respondents, but no action has so far been taken thereon.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by directing respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 29.5.2015 (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

August 5, 2015
gbs

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE