

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15944 of 2015
Date of decision: 05.08.2015

Gopal Dass and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, so as to direct the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for regularization in terms of the policy guidelines dated 04.03.1999 (Annexure P1). Particularly, when the petitioners have rendered more than 10 years service as part-time workers and their claim continues to await consideration at the end of the respondents. It is maintained that prior to the institution of this petition a few of the petitioners had served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 15.03.2015 (Annexure P2) and the rest represented to respondent No.3 vide representation dated 20.04.2015 (Annexure P3). But to no avail.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that let this petition be disposed of only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P2) and representation (Annexure P3), submitted by the petitioners, respectively, within a specified time.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merit, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as set out in the legal notice dated 15.03.2015 (Annexure P2) and representation dated 20.04.2015 (Annexure P3), respectively, strictly in accordance with the law, within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

August 5, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge