

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.19109 of 2013

Date of Decision: April 09, 2015

Pawan Sharma & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Rakesh Sobti, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ravi Partap Singh, AAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The challenge in the present writ petition is to the advertisement No.1/2013 dated 8.7.2013 (Annexure P-1), whereby the respondents had kept certain seats reserved beyond the permissible limit.

Learned counsel appearing for the State informs the Court that the advertisement dated 8.7.2013 (Annexure P-1) has been withdrawn.

In view of the statement made by the learned State counsel, no cause of action survives in the present writ petition.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**April 09, 2015
ramesh**

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**