

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.16524 of 2014
Date of Decision: May 04, 2015

Krishan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G., Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that no cause of
action survives in the present writ petition as the petitioner has retired.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the
petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

May 04, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE