

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15785 of 2015
Date of decision: 03.08.2015

Geeta Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, so as to direct the respondents to reimburse the tuition fee of the dependents of deceased Bharat Bhushan, in terms of the Government instructions dated 09.07.1971. Vide instructions dated 09.07.1971 (Annexure P1) dependents of the deceased employee of Punjab Government were afforded a free education facility subject to certain conditions. Husband of the petitioner, namely, Sh. Bharat Bhushan (Math Master, Government Senior Secondary School, Gujran, District Sangrur) died in harness. Both the children of the deceased, who are unmarried, were dependent upon their late father.

Resultantly, the petitioner, who happened to be their mother,

claimed fee reimbursement of her children in terms of the said Government policy. Objections raised by respondent No.2 to the claim of the petitioner from time to time have since been removed. So much so, office of District Education Officer (SE), Sangrur, has repeatedly recommended to respondent No.2 to reimburse the amount of tuition fee to the petitioner. But to no avail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of only with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner that continues to await consideration at the end of respondent No.2.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merit, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as regards the reimbursement of tuition fee, strictly in accordance with the law, within a period of two months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

August 3, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge