

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP- 16370-2014(O&M)
Date of Decision : 28.07.2015**

Mahender Pal

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. B.S.Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shyam Singh Chhokar, Advocate
for the respondent No.3.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that after the interim order granted by this Court the impugned transfer order having been cancelled the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 has however pointed out that the petitioner had obtained the interim order by misleading this Court and by not impleading the affected person i.e. respondent No.3 and as per him the writ petition should be dismissed on the ground of concealment. He has further mentioned that the petitioner averred that he had been transferred five times but has very cleverly

not mentioned that out of those five times two transfer orders were issued at his request.

I find weight in these arguments. Had the petitioner mentioned the complete facts in his petition this Court may not have granted him any interim order. Consequently, this writ petition is dismissed on the ground of concealment.

28.07.2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**