

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.15674 of 2015
Date of Decision: September 15, 2015

Raghubir

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH.

Present: Mr.Pankaj Bali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner in this case is against the eviction order passed in the summary proceedings initiated by the Gram Panchayat under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, as applicable to the State of Haryana (for short, 'the Act').

It is evident from the order dated 18.08.2011 passed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division (P-5) that the petitioner's claim is based upon his alleged possession before the year 1950 and on that premise, he claimed ownership qua the subject land.

On a query whether such declaration has ever been sought by him under Section 13-A of the Act, learned counsel for the petitioner submits and rightly so that he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to institute a petition under Section 13-A of the Act.

Ordered accordingly.

The observations made by the authorities in the eviction orders would not effect the merits of the petition to be filed by the petitioner.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

September 15, 2015
mohinder

[JASPAL SINGH]
JUDGE