

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 16304 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: February 5, 2015

Bharat Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. KS Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 7.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 7 affirms that there would be no harassment of the petitioner on the part of the 7th respondent. In view of the statement made by the counsel, no further direction is necessary. The petitioners will be at liberty to approach this court on fresh cause of action, if there is any difficulty experienced by them and any public authority is shown to be in laches in enforcement of law.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

February 5, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE