

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.15556 of 2015

Date of Decision : 31.07.2015

Dr. Ujita Ballyan

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. M.S. Sindhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has made allegations primarily against the respondent No.4 of victimization etc.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved a Representation dated 15.06.2015 (Annexure P-21) to the official respondents on which no action has been taken and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondent No.2 to look into the matter and decide the representation very expeditiously since after the issuance of the impugned order the respondent No.4 has started acting with even greater vengeance.

I find this to be a fair request. In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, the respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter/representation (Annexure P-21) and take whatever action he/she deems necessary as per law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 31, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**