

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP no.24360 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 19.01.2015

Akvinder Kaur

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1)Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present : Mr.Arun Takhi , Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl. AG, Punjab

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The petitioner was successful in selection process and offered appointment in the year 2008. She however did not avail of this appointment. Subsequently, in the year 2011 a public notice was given to consider the cases of those persons who had been left out in the counselling earlier. The petitioner now contends that she also ought to have been considered or atleast given an opportunity to be considered for appointment.

The respondents state that once an opportunity has been given to the petitioner by way of an offer of appointment which the petitioner did not avail of, no subsequent right of consideration accrues to her.

On due consideration of the matter I am of the opinion that this Court would not like to interfere in the matters such as this where the petitioner herself has waived off her right to appointment. However, since other persons who have not participated in the initial counselling are

CWP no.24360 of 2011 (O&M) 2

considered and posts indeed are lying vacant, respondents would be at liberty to consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

January 19, 2015
rekha

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE