

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.18617 of 2013

Date of Decision: May 05, 2015

EASI Nafe Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.S.N.Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Keshav Gupta, AAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that vide Annexure P-7 dated 13.12.2011, the seniority of the petitioner has been fixed in Rohtak Range Rohtak and the petitioner would submit a representation for claiming promotion in view of the fact that his seniority has been now fixed in Rohtak Range and, thus, prays for withdrawal of the present writ petition.

In view of the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is permitted to be dismissed as withdrawn.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move a representation to the respondent authorities claiming promotion in view of

the fact that his seniority as ASI has been fixed in Rohtak Range, Rohtak.

In case the representation is submitted by the petitioner, the same shall be decided within a period of three months.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, consequential benefits, if any, be given to him within a further period of two months.

In case the respondents do not grant any relief, then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner.

May 05, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE