

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: 29.01.2015
CWP No. 1539 of 2015(O&M)

Neena Chopra

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: - Mr. Aman Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

--

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU,J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking direction to the respondent to give him the benefit of pension on the basis of joint note dated 27.04.2010 (Annexure P-6), which incorporates the agreement between Indian Banks' Association and All India Bank Officers' Association .

For claiming the benefits in terms of the aforesaid note, the petitioner has served legal notice dated 21.09.2013 (Annexure P-13) but no action has been taken so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if a time bound direction is given to respondent No.2 to take decision on her claim by treating the writ petition as representation.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to treat the instant writ petition as representation and take decision thereon expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. If the petitioner is found entitled to relief, the same be disbursed to her within a period of two months thereafter. In case she is not entitled to any benefit, then a speaking order be passed which shall be communicated to her within the aforesaid period.

29.01.2015
dinesh

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE