

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

CWP No. 15339 of 2015. [O&M]
Date of Decision: 29th July, 2015.

K.L.Sodhi
Versus

Petitioner through
Mr. D.R.Sharma, Advocate

UT, Chandigarh & Ors. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

The instant writ petition is directed against an interlocutory order whereby Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench has declined *ad-interim* stay to the petitioner in a matter wherein he is claiming age of superannuation to be 65 years instead of 60 years.

The Tribunal has observed that the principles like irreparable loss and injury and/or other relevant considerations do not persuade it to grant *ad-interim* stay, though it has listed the main case for an early final disposal on 05.08.2015.

We are also in agreement with the Tribunal. In case the petitioner succeeds in his Original Application, needless to say that he can be adequately compensated with arrears of pay for the period he would remain out of service due to retirement at the age of 60 years. Thus, non-grant of *ad-interim* stay does not cause any prejudice to the petitioner. It is, however, clarified that the observations made by the Tribunal in its order under challenge or by this Court, shall have no bearing on the final outcome of the petitioner's Original Application.

Dismissed.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**July 29, 2015.
dinesh**

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**