

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15245 of 2015

Date of Decision:29/07/2015

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Against the order impugned in the present petition, the petitioner undisputedly has a remedy of filing a revision under Section 42 of the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1961 (hereinafter referred to as “the Act”).

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present writ petition with liberty to challenge the impugned order dated 30.6.2015 (Annexure P-14) by filing a revision under the Act.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

In case any revision against the impugned order dated 30.6.2015 (Annexure P-14) is filed by the petitioner then the same is directed to be decided by the Revisional Authority within four months from the date of filing of such revision petition by passing a well reasoned and speaking order after granting hearing to the petitioner.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

29.07.2015
rajeev