

**125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15102-2015(O&M)

Date of Decision : 27.07.2015

SURESH KUMAR

.... ...PETITIONER

VS

**DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
LTD AND ORS**

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim in the present petition is for regularising the services of the petitioner w.e.f. 01.08.1997 as per the policy of the Government dated 18.06.2014(Annexure P-11). Learned counsel states that in this connection the petitioner has moved various representations, the latest being representation dated 02.07.2015(Annexure P-15) and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to take a decision on the said representation in accordance with law within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to take a decision on the representation Annexure P-15 in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of

three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. If the petitioner is found entitled to the relief claimed, grant the same to him within the aforesaid period of three months. It is made clear that in case the due relief is not granted to the petitioner within the aforesaid period, he would be entitled to claim the same with interest at the rate of 8% p.a. from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date of payment.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

July 27, 2015
sunita