

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15074-2015(O&M)

Date of decision : 27.07.2015

MOHINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Ashwarya Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner in the present case is working in an aided College and is seeking release of the amount of leave encashment due to him at par with the employees of the Government College. The matter is covered by the decision of this Court passed in CWP No. 15830 of 1995 decided on 17.07.1998 (Annexure P-3) and in CWP No. 16992 of 1997 decided on 11.05.1998 (Annexure P-4). Learned counsel states that the petitioner has made representation (Annexure P-5) and that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request.

In the circumstances this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the aforesaid

representation(Annexure P-5) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It is made clear that if any due relief is not granted to the petitioner within the aforesaid period, he would be entitled to claim the same with interest at the rate of 8% p.a. from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date of payment.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

July 27, 2015
sunita