

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**DATE OF DECISION: JULY 27, 2015**

Satyawan Sindhu

.....Petitioner

**VERSUS**

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)**

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that work was assigned to the petitioner vide tender dated 13.12.2012, details whereof has been mentioned in para 2. Petitioner has completed the works assigned to him and on completion of the work, he has approached respondent No.3 for release of the dues. The matter is under consideration with the respondents, but till date, no amount has been released to the petitioner. He has approached respondent No.3 vide representation dated 06.07.2014 (Annexure P-3), which was followed by a notice dated 08.05.2015 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 through counsel, with copy to respondent No.3, but till date, the same has not been decided nor any benefit conferred upon the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondents 2 and 3 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 08.05.2015 (Annexure P-4), within some specified time.

Without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Panipat respondent No.3, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 08.05.2015 (Annexure P-4), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to him, in accordance with law, within a further period of six weeks. In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

**July 27, 2015**  
**khurmi**

**( AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH )**  
**JUDGE**