

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.1210 of 2007 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.04.2015

Khem Chand

..... Appellant

Versus

Tika and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Sunil K.Rana, Advocate,
for R-1, 5, 6 & 8.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Concededly, this appeal is covered by the ratio of the decision of the Supreme Court in **Singh Ram (D) through L.Rs. vs. Sheo Ram and others**, 2014(4) RCR (Civil) 179 that only a mortgage is a mortgage and will remain always open to redemption.

Resultantly, the decree of the trial Court is restored and the appellate decree is set aside.

Disposed of accordingly.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

24.04.2015
manju