

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.15625 of 2014

Date of Decision:- 09.04.2015.

Nahar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. H.S. Saggu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate for
respondent Nos.1 to 3.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is working as a part-time Chowkidar with the respondent Authorities since 07.02.1996. The Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench has directed vide the impugned order dated 14.02.2014 that he be allowed to continue as part-time contractual employee till he reaches the age of retirement i.e. 60 years. But his claim for regularization of services has been turned down on the premise that the same is not covered under any policy decision.

The plight of contingent paid part-time employees came up for consideration before this Court in a bunch of writ petitions in somewhat similar circumstances. The lead case CWP No.9167-CAT of 2007 titled as **Union of India and others Vs. CAT and others** was disposed of vide order dated 02.03.2011 with certain time bound directions to the respondents, including the manner in which part-time employees can be adjusted on regular basis in a phased manner.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are of the considered view that the claim of the petitioner to accord him status of a regular employee stands effectively answered vide the cited order.

Hence, the instant writ petition is also disposed of in terms of the order dated 02.03.2011 passed in Union of India and others' case (supra).

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

April 09, 2015.

sandeep sethi