

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.181 of 2013

Date of Decision: March 26, 2015

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Bhag Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ravi Pratap Singh, AAG, Haryana,  
for the State.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

This Court on 9.1.2013 while issuing notice of motion, noticed the contention, that the petitioner was holding the post of Steno Typist in the office of District Elementary Education Officer, Sonapat and was placed under suspension on 24.8.2005 and vide order dated 16.11.2012, his services were terminated based on the conviction in pursuance to certain criminal proceedings.

The claim of the petitioner is that he had been given subsistence allowance upto 30.7.2008 @ 50%, but thereafter no subsistence allowance has been paid w.e.f. 1.8.2008 till the order of his termination.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has informed the

Court that the petitioner has been paid the subsistence allowance w.e.f. 1.8.2008 till the passing of the termination order but @ 50% instead of 75%.

The present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for grant of remaining 25% subsistence allowance and pass a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**March 26, 2015**  
**ramesh**

**( AMIT RAWAL )**  
**JUDGE**