

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.: 201

Civil Writ Petition No.21235 of 2012 (O & M)

Date of Decision: *August 13, 2015*

Hari Singh & others

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana & others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

. . .

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Kirti Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Shakti Kaushik, Advocate, for Mr. Naveen S.
Bhardwaj, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

. . .

Surya Kant, J (Oral)

1. The grievance of petitioners in this case is that even though their appeals were pending before the First Appellate Court against Civil Court judgment and decree dated 18.7.2013 (Annexure R-1), and thus, the matter was subjudice, yet without waiting the

outcome of those proceedings, they were ordered to be evicted under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961.

2. This Court vide order dated 19.10.2012 and 20.01.2015 directed to maintain status-quo subject to petitioners' depositing ₹ 10,000/- per acre as user charges. Petitioners deposited a sum of ₹ 1 lac and consequently, status-quo order remained operative.

3. It is pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioners that the First Appellate Court has since dismissed their appeals. The instant writ petition, therefore, has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly with liberty to the petitioners to avail the remedy as may be available in law.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

(Jaspal Singh)
Judge

August 13, 2015
avin