

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14822-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.7.2015

Hardev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Mansi Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondents to pay the retiral/pensionary benefits to the petitioner.

The learned counsel contends that for the redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has served a legal notice, Annexure P-2, however, the same has not been decided so far.

Having regard to the nature of the order being passed, there appears to be no necessity to call upon the respondents to file their counter replies/affidavits, at this stage.

Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, without advertng to the merits of the case, at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority, to decide the

legal notice, Annexure P-2, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

24.07.2015
MS

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE