

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.17933 of 2013
Date of Decision: July 28, 2015

Mehar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.S.N.Pillania, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajneesh Chadwal, DAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that the grievance raised in the present writ petition has been vindicated and, therefore, no cause of action survives and the writ petition has become infructuous.

In view of the statement of the learned State counsel, the present writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

July 28, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE