

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No. 15401 of 2014. [O&M]

Date of Decision: 21st September, 2015.

M/s Deepak Builders & Anr.

Petitioners through

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, Advocate

Versus

Chandigarh Administration & Ors.

Respondents through

Mr. Sekhar Verma, Advocate and

Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

While rejecting the challenge laid by the petitioners to the charges sought to be levied on water-supply for construction of the buildings, this Court vide order dated 05.08.2014 initiated *suo-moto* proceedings to find out the legitimacy behind discounted rate of water-charges prescribed for private constructions. The matter was adjourned from time to time to enable the authorities to revisit their rule formulation.

Mr. Sekhar Verma, counsel for the respondents has taken us through the proposed amendments to be carried out in the Chandigarh Water Supply By-laws, 2011. He assures that the amended provisions shall leave no scope of favouritism or arbitrary levy of charges.

Let the authorities take an appropriate decision as early as possible but not later than two months from the date a certified copy of this order is received.

Disposed of. Dasti.

(**SURYA KANT**)
JUDGE

September 21, 2015.
dinesh

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE