

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 146 of 2015.
Date of Decision : 07.01.2015.

M/s Ess Aar Enterprises

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: Mr. Jagmohan Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajive Bhalla, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that duty drawback of ₹13,00,909/- has been deposited in the petitioner's account but as final order has not been passed with respect to shipping bills, the petitioner is unable to use the aforesaid amount.

We have heard counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, direct the Deputy Commissioner of Customs, Inland Container Depot, New Delhi, respondent No. 2, to take a final decision in the matter, if not already taken and communicate the same to the petitioner within two months from receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE

January 07, 2015.
kanchan

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE