

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No.14511 of 2015
Date of Decision : 22.07.2015**

Rachna Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. And another.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Shrey Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has claimed the benefit of back-dated promotion.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved a legal notice dated 19.02.2015 (Annexure P-5) to the official respondents and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondent No.1 to look into the matter and decide his representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.1 is directed to look into the matter/legal notice (Annexure P-5) and take whatever action

he/she deems necessary as per law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 22, 2015

sanjiv

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**