

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.14509 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 22, 2015

The Home Secretary, Chandigarh Administrator, U.T.,
Chandigarh and others

.....Petitioners

versus

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench and
another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Ms.Deepali Puri, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-. -

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The Tribunal has accepted the claim of respondent No.2 for retiral benefits only on the ground that similarly placed Lecturers in the Education Department have been granted notional dates of appointments so as to bring them within the ambit of old pension scheme.

Though it appears to us that the same Officer is heading the Transport as well as Education Department yet the writ petition is conspicuously silent on the point of alleged discrimination. We thus dismiss the same for want of proper particulars but with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action explaining a specific ground whether any benefit of notional appointments has been granted to the employees of Education Department and

is so, why a uniform policy in this regard has not been adopted?

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

July 22, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE